UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/676,587 | 10/01/2003 | Uwe-Jens Krabbenhoft | HK-771 | 7984 |
| | 7590 05/20/200 ENBERG STEMER LI | EXAMINER | | |
| POBOX 2480 | | CHENG, PETER L | | |
| HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/676,587 | KRABBENHOFT, UWE-JENS | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| PETER L. CHENG | 2625 | | |

| | PETER L. CHENG | 2625 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. .ED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ite extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN AMERICA. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally rejection | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | Of Constant of Notice of Non-Con- | | OTOL 204) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (F | 71OL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmen | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 4-8. | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: newly amended claim 1 raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.